Critical Reflection Rubric

Criteria	Acceptable	Unacceptable
Word Limit	Reflection meets the ~800 word specification	Reflection is less than 400 words
Citations	Reflection has at <i>least two references</i> and these references are correctly cited.	Reflection has less than two references and/or these references are incorrectly cited.
Depth of Reflection	Response demonstrates an <i>in-depth</i> reflection on, and <i>personalization</i> of, the theories, concepts, and/or strategies presented in the course materials to date. Viewpoints and interpretations are <i>insightful and well supported</i> . Clear, detailed <i>examples</i> are provided, as applicable.	Response demonstrates a minimal reflection on, or personalization of, the theories, concepts, and/or strategies presented in the course materials to date. Viewpoints and interpretations are missing, inappropriate, and/or unsupported. Examples, when applicable, are not provided or are irrelevant to the assignment.
Writing Structure	Writing is mostly <i>clear, concise, and well</i> <i>organized</i> with excellent sentence/paragraph construction. Thoughts are expressed in a coherent and logical manner. There are <i>no more than three</i> spelling, grammar, or syntax errors per page of writing.	Writing is unclear and/or disorganized. Thoughts are not expressed in a logical manner. There are numerous (more than 5) spelling, grammar, or syntax errors throughout the response.
Application to Practice	Response shows strong evidence of synthesis of ideas presented and insights gained throughout the entire course. The implications of these insights for the respondent's overall mentoring practice are thoroughly detailed, as applicable.	Response shows little to no evidence of synthesis of ideas presented and insights gained throughout the entire course. Few to no implications for the respondent's overall mentoring practice are presented, as applicable.

Strengths of your critical reflection:

Suggestions for **growth** in your critical reflection: